# WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

#### Case No <u>- OA-781 of 2016.</u>

Raj Kumar Pal. Vs The State of West Bengal & Others.

	Raj Kumar Pal. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Others.	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
08	For the Applicant : Mr. Allen Felix, Advocate.	
12/09/2018	For the State Respondents: Mrs. Mousumi Mallick, Advocate.	
	The instant application has been filed praying for	
	a) An order or direction do issue commanding the	
	respondents not to proceed with the	
	application submitted by the elder brother of	
	the applicant any further.	
	b) An order or direction do issue directing the	
	respondent concerned to issue the proforma to	
	the applicant for getting the compassionate	
	appointment forthwith.	
	c) Cost of this application.	
	d) Any other order or orders as this Tribunal may	
	deem fit and proper.	
	According to the applicant his father died on	
	03.03.2011 leaving behind his widow and three	
	sons namely Arun Kr. Pal, Sukumar Pal and Raj	
	Kumar Pal (applicant). After the death of his father,	
	the elder brother Arun Kr. Pal had applied for	
	compassionate appointment on 29.08.2011 along	

_							
C.	$\overline{}$	r	m	١.	N	0	
Г	u		m		IV	u	١.

Raj Kumar Pal.

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-781 of 2016.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	with a declaration by way of affidavit affirmed by	
	their mother Smt. Tapeswari Pal. However,	
	according to the applicant he is the eligible	
	candidate to be considered for compassionate	
	appointment and he filed the application in this	
	regard on 17.08.2011. According to the applicant,	
	he came to know by some other source on 14.10.11	
	that the case of his elder brother is going to be	
	considered for compassionate appointment.	
	Immediately, thereafter he sent advocate's notice on	
	29.12.2011 (Annexure A/4) requesting the	
	respondent No.5 to disclose the name of the nominee	
	as recorded in the service book as well as to send	
	him the prescribed proforma for filling up so that he	
	can get the compassionate appointment in place of	
	his deceased father as he is the only educated son	
	in his family. Thereafter, he received one reply	
	dated 10.02.12 by which he was informed that	
	name of his mother was recorded in the service book	
	of the deceased father. She has already received	
	the death benefit like gratuity, leave salary, GPF etc.	
	(Annexure A/5). Thereafter, the applicant sent a	
	representation dated 10.03.13 asking for prescribed	
	proforma for compassionate appointment (Annexure	
	A/6). Getting no response from the respondent, he	
	has filed the instant application. The respondents	

_			
-	rm	NI	$\sim$
ГО	rm	Ν	().

Raj Kumar Pal.

•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		 	, ,		•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•			 	 	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	 	٠.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

### Case No. OA-781 of 2016.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature  2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	have filed their reply wherein they have specifically	
	submitted that after the death of deceased employee	
	the elder son of the deceased employee applied for	
	compassionate appointment along with the affidavit	
	filed by the wife of the deceased employee wherein	
	it has been specifically stated that her second and	
	third son, Sukumar Pal and Raj Kumar	
	Pal(applicant) are living separately and therefore,	
	she had given her consent in favour of elder son.	
	However, all the testimonials submitted by the elder	
	son Arun Kuma Pal was verified and found false	
	and fabricated and subsequently his claim was	
	rejected. However, it has been specifically stated	
	that no application for compassionate appointment	
	has been received by the respondents from the	
	applicant. Therefore, they have prayed for rejection	
	of the instant OA. The applicant has filed rejoinder	
	wherein he has reiterated his earlier submissions.	
	He has further submitted that he has filed	
	application with all supporting documents on	
	17.08.2011 which would be evident from the letter	
	dated 04.10.11(Annexure B). During the course of	
	hearing, the counsel for the applicant has further	
	submitted that he is the only educated person in the	
	family and he should be granted compassionate	
	appointment. Heard the parties and perused the	

_			
-	rm	NI	$\sim$
ГО	rm	Ν	().

Raj	Kumar	Pal.
-----	-------	------

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-781 of 2016.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1	records. It is noted that the concerned employee	3
	died on 03.03.2011. The instant application has	
	been filed in the year 2016 only that is after five	
	years. Moreover, from the records and documents	
	as claimed to be application for compassionate	
	appointment as annexure it is noted that the	
	lawyer's notice dated 29.12.11 is as follows:-	
	"Under instructions and duly	
	authorised by my clients (1) Sri	
	Sukumar Pal (2) Sri Raj Kumar Pal	
	both are the sons of Late Sunil Kr. Pal	
	of Rathtala, Itvata Colony, P.o.	
	Kanchannagar, P.S + Dist. Burdwan I	
	hereby give you request letter, that my	
	clients father Susil Kr. Pal was an	
	employee as 'Khalasi Post' under D.C.	
	Hd. Qtrs. Sub Division, I&W Dte,	
	Govt.of West Bengal/or your office	
	and he was died on 03/03/2011 at	
	B.M.C.H. P.s.+ Dist. Burdwan. That	
	my client's have not getr the service	
	benefits after the death of their father,	
	and my aforesaid client wants to get	
	correct and clear account which as	
	service benefits of Susil Kr. Pal and	
	they are got the said money as legal	

_			-	
_	٦r	m		lo.
Гι	วr		- I N	IU.

Raj Kumar Pal.

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-781 of 2016.

	S
h signature	Office action with date and dated signature

Order of the Tribunal with Serial No. and Date of order. 2 of parties when necessary 1 3 heirs." From the above, it is noted that this notice only asked for details of the service benefit but, this letter does not reflect any application for compassionate Further, again in the so called appointment. application dated 28.11.2011 annexure A/6 it is noted that Sri Sukumar Pal (2nd son) and Sri Raj Kumar Pal (3<sup>rd</sup> son) by that letter had only asked for details of the service benefit without any receipt of the same. It is further observed in the rejoinder that the applicant had claimed that he had approached the authority on 17.08.2011 which would be evident from the letter dated 14.10.11 Annexure B. However, from the perusal of the annexure B, it is noted that there is no documents dated 14.10.11 as Annexure B to the rejoinder rather Annexure Bcontains affidavit declaration dated 17.08.2011 made by the mother of the applicant which specifically indicated that the applicant is living separately and is not looking after the mother. In view of the above, it is crystal clear that no application has been made before the authority though the authority received one application for compassionate appointment from the elder brother of the applicant which was not found fit. Moreover, the instant application has been filed after five years

Form No.	Fo	rm	No.	
----------	----	----	-----	--

Raj Kumar Pal.

• • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. <u>OA-781</u>	Case No. OA-781 of 2016.			
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature		
1		of parties when necessary  3		
	from the date of death of deceased employee. It is	-		
	settled position of law that the compassionate			
	appointment is not matter of right. The main			
	purpose of the compassionate appointment is to			
	overcome the sudden financial crisis occurred due to			
	sudden demise of the sole bread earner and in the			
	instant case as per the documents enclosed by the			
	applicant himself as well as declaration made by			
	the mother. The applicant is living separately with			
	his family and is not looking after the mother.			
	Therefore, the applicant cannot be treated as a			
	dependent of his father. Moreover, he never made			
	any application before the authority and approached			
	this Tribunal after five years. Therefore, we are not			
	inclined to entertain the instant application.			
	Accordingly, the <b>OA is dismissed</b> with no order as			
	to cost with above observation.			
GM	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)			